

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/662,542 09/15/2003 Michael R. Zucchini P00910-US1 2270 3017 03/08/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, LTD. SWIATEK, ROBERT P 101 DYER STREET **ART UNIT 5TH FLOOR** PAPER NUMBER PROVIDENCE, RI 02903 3643

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		$\sim$ ()
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,542	ZUCCHINI, MICHAEL R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  bys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ The 2b ■ The 2	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdensity of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6,8-15,17 and 18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) and 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous contin</li></ul>	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seection is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-24-03</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/662,542

Art Unit: 3643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6, 10, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang (US 2003/0145564 A1). The Chang publication discloses a stirrup assembly including top plate 98, mounting plate 14, foot plate 46, and screw fasteners 112a, 112b extending from the bottom surface of top plate 98 through the mounting plate 14 and foot plate 46.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang. Although the material from which top and mounting plates 98, 14 of Chang are constructed is not disclosed, use of aluminum would have been obvious to one skilled in the art wishing to reduce costs and minimize weight.

Claims 3-5, 8, 9, 12-14, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Cargill (US 6,062,007: Ref. no. 13 on e-IDS). The top plate of the Chang stirrup is not knurled or milled to improve its traction (though it does have traction-enhancing dimples 110) nor does the stirrup construction include a compressible pad and a top plate with notched ends. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, however, to replace the traction dimples 110 of Chang with a knurled, milled surface to increase its gripping capabilities, to provide a compressible pad between top plate 98 and foot plate 46 of Chang to enhance the shock dampening properties of the stirrup, and to employ notches in the ends of plate 98 of Chang to reduce unwanted movement of the plate, all in view of the patent to Cargill (see elements 29, 31, 42, 44 of Cargill).

Claims 7, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 1, "is provided" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, line 3, the phrase "related to and claims priority from" should be changed –a continuation-in-part of—.

Appropriate correction is required.

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: It lacks the date of execution.

Art Unit: 3643

The patents to Williamson (US 283,310), Berbaum (US 1,639,073), and Bischeltsrieder (US 3,905,179) have been cited to provide additional examples of stirrup structures.

RPS: @703/308-2700

2 March 2004

ROBERT P. SWIATEK

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 323 3643